

A representation of max-stable processes *

Ana Ferreira
ISA, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa

Laurens de Haan
Erasmus University Rotterdam

Abstract

A standardized max-stable process on $C(S)$ with S a compact subset of a Euclidean space has a simple representation involving a more or less arbitrary stochastic process.

Keywords: max-stable process, Poisson point process, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

1 Introduction

Max-stable processes form a useful tool to model extremal behaviour in e.g. environmental processes like rainfall.

Probably the earliest example of a max-stable process has been given in Brown and Resnick (1977): Let O_1, O_2, \dots be independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) copies of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Consider the sequence of processes

$$\{M_n(s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}} := \left\{ \bigvee_{i=1}^n b_n (O_i(s/b_n^2) - b_n) \right\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$$

where the maximum is taken pointwise and $b_n = (2 \log n - \log \log n - \log 4\pi)^{1/2}$. Note that the b_n are chosen such that the $M_n(0)$ has a non-degenerate limit distribution. Then the sequence $\{M_n(s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ converges in distribution in $C(\mathbb{R})$ to a process $\{\eta(s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ with the following structure. $C(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R} .

Consider a Poisson point process on \mathbb{R}_+ with mean measure $x^{-2} dx$. Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be an enumeration of the points of a realization of the point process. Also consider an i.i.d. sequence of Brownian motions $\{W_i(s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$, independent

*Research partially supported by Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian and FCT/POCTI/FEDER

of the point process, where $W_i(0) = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and the process goes off in a symmetric way in both directions. Then

$$\{\eta(s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i e^{W_i(s) - |s|/2} \right\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}} .$$

We shall show that this structure - points of a Poisson point process marked by i.i.d. stochastic processes - is valid for any simple max-stable process in $C(S)$ with S a compact subset of a Euclidean space. $C(S)$ is the space of continuous functions f on S equipped with the supremum norm $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{s \in S} |f(s)|$.

2 Main result

Let ξ be a stochastic process on $C(S)$ with non-degenerate marginals, i.e. $\xi(s)$ is non-degenerate for all $s \in S$. The process ξ is *max-stable* if there exist continuous functions $a_n > 0$ and b_n , defined on S , such that if $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ are i.i.d. copies of ξ ,

$$\left\{ \bigvee_{i=1}^n \frac{\xi_i(s) - b_n(s)}{a_n(s)} \right\}_{s \in S} \stackrel{d}{=} \{\xi(s)\}_{s \in S} .$$

The probabilistic structure of those processes is fully captured, through a transformation of the marginal distributions, by a corresponding *simple* max-stable process. A stochastic process η in $C(S)$ with non-degenerate marginals is simple max-stable if, with $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n$ i.i.d. copies of η ,

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \bigvee_{i=1}^n \eta_i(s) \right\}_{s \in S} \stackrel{d}{=} \{\eta(s)\}_{s \in S}$$

and $P\{\eta(s) \leq 1\} = e^{-1}$ for $s \in S$. The first requirement determines the type of the marginal distribution, the latter fixes the scale (cf. Giné, Hahn and Vatan (1990)). We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let the process η be simple max-stable in $C(S)$. Consider a Poisson point process on $(0, \infty)$ with mean measure dr/r^2 . Let $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be the enumeration of the points of a realization of the point process. We can find i.i.d. non-negative stochastic processes V, V_1, V_2, \dots in $C(S)$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} EV(s) &= 1, \quad \text{for } s \in S, \\ E \sup_{s \in S} V(s) &< \infty \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

such that

$$\eta \stackrel{d}{=} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i V_i .$$

Conversely each process with this representation is simple max-stable.
The process V can be chosen in such a way that

$$\sup_{s \in S} V(s) = c \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (2)$$

with c some positive constant.

For the proof we use the following result by Giné, Hahn and Vatan (1990).

Proposition 2.1. *Let η be a simple max-stable process in $C(S)$. There exists a finite Borel measure ρ on $C_1^+ := \{f \in C(S) : f \geq 0, |f|_\infty = 1\}$ with the property that*

$$\int_{C_1^+} f(s) d\rho(f) = 1 \quad \text{for all } s \in S,$$

such that

$$\eta \stackrel{d}{=} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i$$

where $\{\eta_i(s)\}_{s \in S} := \{Z_i \pi_i(s)\}_{s \in S}$ and (Z_i, π_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, are the points of a Poisson point process on $(0, \infty) \times C_1^+$ with mean measure $r^{-2} dr \times d\rho$.

Conversely each process with this representation is simple max-stable.

We also need the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose P is a Poisson point process on the product space $S_1 \times S_2$ with S_1 and S_2 metric spaces and the intensity measure is $\nu = \nu_1 \times \nu_2$ where ν_1 is not bounded and ν_2 is a probability measure. The process can be generated in the following way: let $\{U_i\}$ be an enumeration of the points of the Poisson point process on S_1 with intensity measure ν_1 and let V_1, V_2, \dots be independent and identically distributed random elements of S_2 with probability distribution ν_2 . Then the counting measure N defined by*

$$N(A_1 \times A_2) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1_{\{(U_i, V_i) \in A_1 \times A_2\}}$$

for Borel sets $A_1 \subset S_1$, $A_2 \subset S_2$, has the same distribution as the point process P .

Proof. We need to prove that the number of points of the set $\{(U_i, V_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in two disjoint Borel sets are independent (which is trivial) and that the number of points $N(A_1 \times A_2)$ in a Borel set $A_1 \times A_2$, with $A_1 \subset S_1$ and $A_2 \subset S_2$, has a

Poisson distribution with mean measure $\nu_1(A_1)\nu_2(A_2)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(N(A_1 \times A_2) = k) \\
&= \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} P(N(A_1 \times A_2) = k \mid \text{the number of points in } A_1 = r) \\
&\quad \frac{(\nu_1(A_1))^r}{r!} e^{-\nu_1(A_1)} \\
&= \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} \frac{r!}{(r-k)!k!} (\nu_2(A_2))^k (1-\nu_2(A_2))^{r-k} \frac{(\nu_1(A_1))^r}{r!} e^{-\nu_1(A_1)} \\
&= \frac{(\nu_1(A_1)\nu_2(A_2))^k}{k!} e^{-\nu_1(A_1)} \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\nu_2(A_2))^{r-k}}{(r-k)!} (\nu_1(A_1))^{r-k} \\
&= \frac{(\nu_1(A_1)\nu_2(A_2))^k}{k!} e^{-\nu_1(A_1)\nu_2(A_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let η be simple max-stable and $\{(Z_i, \pi_i)\}$ the points of the point process of Proposition 2.1. Let $\{(\tilde{Z}_i, \tilde{\pi}_i)\}$ be the points of a Poisson point process on $(0, \infty) \times C_1^+$ with mean measure

$$\rho(C_1^+) \frac{dr}{r^2} \times \frac{d\rho}{\rho(C_1^+)}.$$

Then the product measure remains the same and hence

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{Z}_i \tilde{\pi}_i \stackrel{d}{=} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i \pi_i \stackrel{d}{=} \eta.$$

Next consider the collection of points $\{(\tilde{\tilde{Z}}_i, \tilde{\tilde{\pi}}_i)\}$ defined for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\tilde{Z}}_i &:= \tilde{Z}_i / \rho(C_1^+) \\
\tilde{\tilde{\pi}}_i &:= \tilde{\pi}_i \rho(C_1^+).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\{(\tilde{\tilde{Z}}_i, \tilde{\tilde{\pi}}_i)\}$ represents a Poisson point process on $(0, \infty) \times C_\rho^+$ with

$$C_\rho^+ := \{f \in C(S) : f \geq 0, |f|_\infty = \rho(C_1^+)\}.$$

We now argue that its intensity measure is

$$r^{-2} dr \times dQ$$

with Q a probability measure. The intensity measure of the first component is

$$\int_{\{z: z/\rho(C_1^+) \in A\}} \rho(C_1^+) \frac{dz}{z^2} = \int_A \frac{dz}{z^2}$$

for a Borel set A of $(0, \infty)$. The intensity measure of the second component is

$$Q(\cdot) := \frac{\rho\{f : f \geq 0, |f|_\infty = 1, f\rho(C_1^+) \in \cdot\}}{\rho(C_1^+)}$$

which is a probability measure.

Application of the Lemma now provides the representation of the theorem with V satisfying (2).

For the converse just follow the steps backwards.

It remains to prove that for the converse the requirement $\sup_{s \in S} V(s) = c$ a.s. can be relaxed to $E \sup_{s \in S} V(s) < \infty$. Checking the proof of the Proposition in Giné, Hahn and Vatan (1990), one sees that their condition which in our notation is $\sup_{s \in S} \tilde{\pi}_i(s) = \rho(C_1^+)$ for all i , is used only to ensure the finiteness of the process η . But this also follows from our weaker assumption: we consider now a probability measure Q on the space

$$C^* := \{f \in C(S) : f \geq 0, |f|_\infty > 0\}$$

with the property

$$\int_{C^*} |f|_\infty dQ(f) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left\{ \sup_{s \in S} \eta(s) \leq x \right\} \\ &= P \left\{ \text{none of the points } \tilde{Z}_i \tilde{\pi}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ is in the set } \{f : f \geq 0, |f|_\infty > x\} \right\} \\ &= \exp \left(- \iint_{|f|_\infty > x} \frac{dr}{r^2} dQ(f) \right) = \exp \left(- \frac{1}{x} \int_{C^*} |f|_\infty dQ(f) \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the process η is bounded. \square

Remark 2.1. *The result can also be expressed as a representation using a family of (deterministic) spectral functions acting on a homogeneous Poisson process on $(0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$ as in de Haan (1984): there exist non-negative measurable functions $f_s(u)$ where $s \in S$, $u \in [0, 1]$ with*

i. for each $u \in [0, 1]$ the function $f_s(u)$ is continuous in s ,

ii. for each $s \in S$

$$\int_0^1 f_s(u) du = 1,$$

iii.

$$\int_0^1 \sup_{s \in S} f_s(u) du < \infty,$$

such that

$$\{\eta(s)\}_{s \in S} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i f_s(Y_i) \right\}_{s \in S}$$

where $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an enumeration of the points of a Poisson point process on $(0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$ with mean measure $dr/r^2 \times d\lambda$ (λ is Lebesgue measure).

Corollary 2.1 (Sample behaviour). *Consider the representation of Theorem 2.1 using the Poisson point process represented by $\{(Z_i, V_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. With probability one there exists a finite collection $\{(Z_j, V_j)\}_{j=1}^k$ such that*

$$\eta \stackrel{d}{=} \bigvee_{j=1}^k Z_j V_j .$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.4 , Giné, Hahn and Vatan (1990),

$$P\{\eta > 0\} = 1 .$$

Hence on a set of probability one we have both that $\eta > 0$ and that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there are only finitely many Z_i with $Z_i > \epsilon$. The result follows. \square

Remark 2.2. *Consider the stochastic process on a compact subset S of a Euclidean space, defined by $\zeta := YV$ where Y is a random variable with distribution function $1 - 1/x$, $x \geq 1$ and V a continuous stochastic process satisfying (1). Let ζ_1, ζ_2, \dots be i.i.d. copies of ζ . It is easy to see that $n^{-1} \bigvee_{i=1}^n \zeta_i$ converges in $C(S)$ to a simple max-stable process that has the representation of Theorem 2.1 with the same auxiliary process V . Moreover for $a > 1$*

$$\{a^{-1}\zeta(s) | \zeta(0) > a\}_{s \in S}$$

has the same distribution as ζ . This property resembles a corresponding property for generalized Pareto distributions in finite-dimensional space. Note that the marginal distributions of this process are not Pareto: for $x > 0$

$$P\{\zeta(s) > x\} = x^{-1} \int_0^x P\{V(s) > u\} du .$$

References

- [1] B. Brown and S. Resnick: Extreme values of independent stochastic processes. J. Appl. Probab. **14**, 732–739 (1977)
- [2] E. Giné, M. G. Hahn and P. Vatan: Max-infinitely divisible and max-stable sample continuous processes. Probab. Th. Rel. Fields **73**, 139–165 (1990)
- [3] L. de Haan: A spectral representation for max-stable processes. Ann. Prob. **12**, 1194–1204 (1984)